

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/672,450	KAGLE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DANIEL G. MARIAM	2625

All Participants:

(1) DANIEL G. MARIAM.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(2) MICHAEL J. MEDLEY.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 December 2005

Time: 12:00PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102

Claims discussed:

1, 10, and 19

Prior art documents discussed:

Peterson(6,411,742)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed issues pertinent to the above-identified claims. Applicants have agreed to amend each of the independent claims (See the Examiner's Amendment for details).

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 DANIEL G. MARIAM
 MARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)